

Tuhinga whai tohutohu | Consultation document

# Review of enrolled nurse and registered nurse competencies Including amendments to the registered nurse scope of practice statement

December 2023

### Ngā pātai whaitohutohu | Consultation questions

#### Name of organisation/submitter: Maree Cassidy/ MercyAscot

We may publish submissions on our website. Please check the box below if you would like your response to be kept confidential.

 $\square$  Please keep this response confidential

### **Enrolled nurse competencies**

| Consultation questions   | Your response |
|--|---------------|
| <b>Question 1.</b> Do you think the proposed enrolled nurse competencies are broad | Yes 🛛         |
| enough to cover all practice areas?  | No 🗆          |
| Comment  |               |

| Consultation questions  | Your response   |
|---|---|
| <b>Question 2.</b> Do you agree with the overall structure of the proposed enrolled nurse | Yes 🗆   |
| competencies?   | No 🖂  |
| Comment   | There is duplication and some competencies are too<br>specific and may be difficult to demonstrate. Managing<br>self-care 4.3 should not be a competency. |

| Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi   |  |
|---|--|
| <b>Question 3.</b> Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi?                | Yes 🗆<br>No 🗆  |
|   | Partly 🛛   |
| <b>Question 4.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou One?                                  | Feel there is too much emphasis on Te Tiriti combining Pou<br>1 and 2 would continue to provide the emphasis on the Te<br>Tiriti and Cultural safety and remove what could be<br>duplication |
| Pou Two: Cultural Safety  |  |
| <b>Question 5.</b> Do you agree with the scope and focus of Pou Two: Cultural Safety?                     | Yes 🗆<br>No 🗆  |
|   | Partly 🛛   |
| <b>Question 6.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Two?                                  | As above elements could be combined  |
| Pou Three: Knowledge Informed Practice  |  |
| <b>Question 7.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Three: Knowledge Informed<br>Practice? | Yes 🗆  |
|   | No 🗆   |
|   | Partly ⊠   |

| <b>Question 8.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Three?  | Not sure what 3.1 means feels too specific. 3.3 is covered in<br>Pou 1 & 2. 3.5 is too specific. Other competencies read well.  |
|---|---|
| Pou Four: Professional Accountability and Responsibility  |   |
| <b>Question 9.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Four: Professional<br>Accountability and Responsibility? | Yes □<br>No □<br>Partly ⊠   |
| <b>Question 10.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Four?  | 4.3 shouldn't be a competency it should be covered in H&S<br>and is a personal responsibility – may not like to share how<br>you do this outside of work.   |
| Pou Five: Partnership and Collaboration   |   |
| <b>Question 11.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Five: Partnership and<br>Collaboration?                 | Yes ⊠<br>No □<br>Partly □   |
| <b>Question 12.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Five?  |   |
| Other comments  |   |
| <b>Question 13.</b> Do you have any other comments?   | Great that we have moved to give greater clarity to EN's<br>and support how RN's, Managers and Employers work with<br>RN's<br>Duplication should be considered. Not sure there has been<br>a focus on how the EN will demonstrate these across a<br>range of practice settings. |

## **Registered nurse competencies**

| Consultation questions  | Your response  |
|---|--|
| <b>Question 14.</b> Do you think the proposed registered nurse competencies are broad enough to cover all practice areas? | Yes ⊠<br>No □  |
| Comment   | In discussion with clinical teams and leaders the<br>overwhelming response is that these are too broad, there<br>is duplication within the competencies and some aspects<br>are covered in other legislation are covered in day to day<br>operations   |
| <b>Question 15.</b> Do you agree with the overall structure of the proposed registered nurse competencies?                | Yes □<br>No ⊠  |
| Comment   | Too much emphasis on Te Tiriti O Waitangi and Cultural<br>safety, combine. From an operational point of view they<br>will be very hard to operationalise. Organisations with<br>compulsory programs will be disadvantaged. Peer reviews<br>will be hard to get due to an already tired/exhausted<br>workforce. A number of these competencies should fall<br>under legislation and operational management. |

| Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi, Ōritetanga and Social Justice   |  |
|--|--|
| <b>Question 16.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou One: Te Tiriti o Waitangi,<br>Ōritetanga and Social Justice? | Yes □<br>No □<br>Partly ⊠  |
| <b>Question 17.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou One?  | Pou 1&2 should be combined and allow for a greater<br>emphasis on nursing practice at the bedside that<br>encompasses Te Tiriti and Cultural Safety. |
| Pou Two: Kawa Whakaruruhau and Cultural Safety   |  |

| <b>Question 18.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Two: Kawa Whakaruruhau<br>and Cultural Safety?             | Yes □<br>No □<br>Partly ⊠   |
|--|---|
| <b>Question 19.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Two?  | As per Q17  |
| Pou Three: Pūkengatar  | ga and Excellence in Nursing Practice   |
| <b>Question 20.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Three: Pūkengatanga and<br>Excellence in Nursing Practice? | Yes □<br>No ⊠<br>Partly ⊠   |
| <b>Question 21.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Three?  | <ul> <li>3.3 - could be covered in cultural safety</li> <li>3.4 - while agree we are moving to a digital platform - feel this is too specfic</li> <li>3.7 - we have moved away from the direction and delegation language for EN's could this be reframed to support that.</li> <li>3.9 duplicated in Cultural Safety</li> <li>3.10 covered in 3.2</li> <li>3.13 shouldn't be a competency</li> </ul> |
| Pou Four: Manaakitanga and People Centredness  |   |
| <b>Question 22.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Four: Manaakitanga and<br>People Centredness               | Yes □<br>No □<br>Partly ⊠   |
| <b>Question 23.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to Pou Four?   | 4.1 & 4.2 should be combined. 4.2 is too specific   |
| Pou Five: Whakawhanaungatanga and Communication  |   |

| Question 24. Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Five:<br>Whakawhanaungatanga and<br>Communication?<br>Question 25. What would you strengthen,<br>change, or add to Pou Five? | Yes □<br>No ⊠<br>Partly □<br>5.2 could be covered in 4.1<br>5.4 can we mandate this – doesn't feel right<br>5.6/5.7 covered in privacy legislation<br>5.9/5.10 – covered in code of conduct   |
|---|---|
| Pou Six: Ran  | gatiratanga and Leadership  |
| <b>Question 26.</b> Do you agree with the scope<br>and focus of Pou Six: Rangatiratanga and<br>Leadership?  | Yes □<br>No □<br>Partly ⊠   |
| <b>Question 27.</b> What would you strengthen, change or add to Pou Six?  | 6.2 too specific  |
|   | Dther comments  |
| <b>Question 13.</b> Do you have any other comments?   | The increase in competencies has not added to<br>demonstrating competence to practice.<br>Feedback from the Registered Nurses has been less than<br>complementary.<br>We understand that the assessment of these competencies<br>is a different piece of work however we suggest that you<br>can't separate them as nurses need to understand how<br>they will be required to demonstrate these in practice.<br>Understanding the requirements or not for peer review is<br>also relevant. This is the biggest barrier for a nursing<br>workforce under pressure. |

## Registered nurse scope of practice statement amendments

| Consultation questions   | Your response  |
|--|--|
| <b>Question 28.</b> Do you agree with the proposed amendments to the registered nurse scope of practice?         | Yes ⊠<br>No □  |
| Do you have any comments?  | Mostly Agree   |
| <b>Question 29.</b> What would you strengthen, change, or add to the proposed registered nurse scope of practice |  |
| Do you have any other comments?  | Direction and Delegation features if this is to be removed<br>from the EN Scope then we need to find alternative<br>language otherwise risk getting more of the same barriers<br>to work with EN's. Recognise there is the need to D&D to<br>unregulated workers – can that be added for clarity<br>Paragraph 1 & 2 should be swapped. |